# 2. RESPONSE/REMARKS

#### 2.1 STATUS OF THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-59 were pending at the time of the Action.

Claims 2, 3, 5-13, 44-52, and 54-57 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1, 4, 14-16, 22, 25, 27, 30, 36, 40, 43, 53, and 59 have been amended herein.

Claims 1, 4, 14-43, 53, and 58 remain pending in the Application.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the remarks herein, removal of all outstanding claim objections and rejections, and allowance of all pending claims. Applicants also hereby incorporate by specific reference thereto, all arguments and reasoning presented in Applicants' earlier responses on record in the pending matter.

### 2.2 REJOINDER OF CLAIMS 43 AND 53 IS REQUESTED.

In light of the amendment presented herein, Applicants also respectfully request rejoinder and allowance of claims 43 and 53, which have been amended commensurate in scope with the composition claims that are now in condition for allowance.

## 2.3 THE REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IS OVERCOME.

The Action at pages 3-8 renews the Office's rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), allegedly as being obvious over Wraight et al. (WO 00/78341), Thompson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,750,390), Pavco et al. and Kido et al., for the reasons already of record in the previous Action.

Applicants again respectfully traverse.

As discussed in Applicants' response to the prior Office Action, these references, neither

alone, nor in any combination provide the relevant teaching, suggestion, motivation, or an

expectation of success of achieving the claimed invention. In particular, (a) none of the

disclosures by Wraight et al., Pavco et al., Thompson et al., and/or Kido et al., provides any

guidance about selecting particular ribozymes that specifically target IGF-I receptor -specific

mRNA sequences, and most certainly do not provide any guidance or an expectation of success

in constructing a ribozyme that comprises, or alternatively consists of, the ribozyme sequence set

forth in SEQ ID NO:100. The combination of these references also do not suggest a likelihood

of success that the particular ribozyme sequence of SEQ ID NO:100 would be useful in the

specific cleavage of an IGF-I receptor polypeptide-specific mRNA sequence such as the mRNA

target sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:88.

As such, these references *cannot* render the claimed invention obvious. There is simply

insufficient teaching, motivation, suggestion, and expectation of success for using the specific

ribozyme sequence (SEQ ID NO:100) to selectively target an IGF-1 receptor-specific mRNA

(e.g., the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:88), and to specifically cleave such an mRNA

sequence.

Applicants respectfully request, therefore, that the obviousness rejection now be

withdrawn, and that a Notice of Allowance be issued in the case.

2.4 A NOTICE OF APPEAL OF THE FINAL DECISION IS GIVEN.

Solely to facilitate continued pendancy of the application until Examiner Chong's review

of the present submission is completed, and an Examiner Interview can be scheduled and

Page 11 of 14

conducted, Applicants constructively file a Notice of Appeal herewith. The authorization for the

fee accompanying this Notice of Appeal is also hereby expressly stated, and the Commissioner is

authorized to deduct the requisite amount for the Appeal fee from the above-captioned Deposit

Account. It is Applicants' belief, however, that all previous concerns of Examiner Chong with

respect to patentability of the present claims have now been successfully resolved, and that the

pending claims are ready for allowance.

2.5 PROVISIONAL REQUEST FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW.

Pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.01 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.133, Applicants hereby provisionally

request an interview between the Office and the undersigned representative should any specific

concerns remain following Examiner Chong's entry of the amendment and consideration of the

present paper.

In order to facilitate an expeditious conclusion of prosecution on the merits in the present

application, and to permit expedited allowance and issuance of the pending claims, Applicants

provide construct notice of the undersigned's availability to conduct such an interview with the

Office within the next 30 days if necessary.

Should agreement and allowance not be reached with all pending claims in view of the

instant submission, Applicants also specifically reserve their right to elect (within the prescribed

statutory period) to submit a Brief on Appeal, or alternatively, request continued examination of

the case by filing of an RCE. Applicants respectfully defer action on this decision until such

time as the present paper is considered and the Interview with the Office conducted.

Mindful of the Examiner's extensive docket, appreciative of her diligence in the

Examination of this case, and in an effort to secure a speedy resolution of the final outstanding

Page 12 of 14

issue, and likewise to afford the Examiner the maximum opportunity to review the present

document and consider the remarks herein, Applicants have elected to file the present papers

electronically using the Office's Electronic Filing System (EFS) to permit the Examiner's ready

access to electronic versions of these documents immediately upon filing, thereby circumventing

the delays inherent with traditional submissions by mail. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's

attention to the remarks herein in advance of the forthcoming request for a subsequent Interview

on the record.

2.6 CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all claims are fully enabled by the Specification, and that

all claims are definite and free of any concerns of prior art. Applicants believe that the claims

are acceptable under all sections of the Statutes and are now in conditions for ready allowance,

and that all of the concerns of the Office have been resolved. Applicants earnestly solicit

concurrence by the Office and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance in the case with all due speed.

Page 13 of 14

Applicants note for the record their explicit right to re-file claims to one or more aspects of the invention as originally claimed in one or more continuing application(s) retaining the priority claim from the present and parent cases.

Should Examiner Chong have any questions, a telephone call to Applicants' undersigned representative would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark D. Moore, Ph.D. Registration No. 42,903

<u>Date: September 15, 2008</u> HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

Telephone: 713-547-2040 Facsimile: 214-200-0853

E-Mail: ipdocketing@haynesboone.com

#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed electronically with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web on September 15, 2008.

Mark D. Moore